

SELECTOR FUNCTION FOR LIFE-TIME VALUE FINANCIAL PROCESSING  
IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

CROSS REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

5           This application is related to the following co-pending and commonly assigned patent applications:

          Utility Application Serial No. --/---,---, filed on same date herewith, by Peter H. Redweik, entitled "LIFE-TIME VALUE FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number  
10   11053;

          Utility Application Serial No. --/---,---, filed on same date herewith, by Peter H. Redweik, entitled "NET PRESENT VALUE FORECAST FOR LIFE-TIME VALUE FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number 11202;

15          Utility Application Serial No. --/---,---, filed on same date herewith, by Peter H. Redweik, entitled "NET PRESENT VALUE ATTRITION FOR LIFE-TIME VALUE FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number 11201;

          Utility Application Serial No. --/---,---, filed on same date herewith, by Peter H. Redweik, entitled "FUTURE VALUE PROPENSITY FOR LIFE-TIME VALUE FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number 11199; and  
20

          Utility Application Serial No. --/---,---, filed on same date herewith, by Peter H. Redweik, entitled "FUTURE VALUE ATTRITION FOR LIFE-TIME VALUE FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number 11200;  
25

          all of which applications are incorporated by reference herein.

          This application is a continuation-in-part of the following co-pending and commonly assigned patent applications:

30          Utility Application Serial No. 10/227,909, filed on August 26, 2002, by Brian J. Wasserman and Thomas K. Ryan, entitled "PLATFORM INDEPENDENT

ARCHITECTURE FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL  
DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM,” attorneys’ docket number 9888;

Utility Application Serial No. 10/227,726, filed on August 26, 2002, by Richard  
C. Schwarz, Brian J. Wasserman, Sang Y. Yum and Thomas K. Ryan, entitled “DRIVER  
5 AMOUNT/COUNT SELECTION FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A  
RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM,” attorneys’ docket number  
10435;

Utility Application Serial No. 10/228,031, filed on August 26, 2002, by Brian J.  
Wasserman, entitled “OBJECT-ORIENTED REPRESENTATION OF A GENERIC  
10 PROFITABILITY RULE FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL  
DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM,” attorneys’ docket number 10440;

Utility Application Serial No. 10/227,976, filed on August 26, 2002, by Brian J.  
Wasserman, George R. Hood and Thomas K. Ryan, entitled “DISCRETE  
PROFITABILITY CALCULATIONS FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A  
15 RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM,” attorneys’ docket number  
10443;

Utility Application Serial No. 10/228,022, filed on August 26, 2002, by Brian J.  
Wasserman, George R. Hood and Thomas K. Ryan, entitled “RULES-BASED, DATA-  
DRIVEN PROFITABILITY CALCULATIONS FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN  
20 A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM,” attorneys’ docket number  
10444;

Utility Application Serial No. 10/016,779, filed on December 10, 2001, by Brian  
J. Wasserman, entitled “PARALLEL SELECTION PROCESSING FOR FINANCIAL  
PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM,”  
25 attorneys’ docket number 9620, which is a continuation-in-part of the applications listed  
herein that are identified by attorneys’ docket numbers 9621, 9675, and 9618;

Utility Application Serial No. 10/013,422, filed on December 10, 2001, by Brian  
J. Wasserman, entitled “ACCOUNT SELECTION FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN  
A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM,” attorneys’ docket number  
30 9621, which is a continuation-in-part of the applications listed herein that are identified  
by attorneys’ docket numbers 9620, 9675, and 9618;

Utility Application Serial No. 10/013,434, filed on December 10, 2001, by Brian J. Wasserman, entitled "DRIVER AMOUNT AND COUNT SELECTION PROCESSING FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number 9675, which is a continuation-in-part of the applications listed herein that are identified by attorneys' docket numbers 9620, 9621, and 9618; and

Utility Application Serial No. 10/016,452, filed on December 10, 2001, by Brian J. Wasserman, George R. Hood, and Thomas K. Ryan, entitled "DYNAMIC EVENT SELECTION FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number 9618, which is a continuation-in-part of the applications listed herein that are identified by attorneys' docket numbers 9620, 9621, 9675, 9522, 9435, 9511, 9006, 8980, 9015, 9010, 9008, and 9011;

all of which applications are incorporated by reference herein.

This application is related to the following co-pending and commonly assigned patent applications:

Utility Application Serial No. 09/845,461, filed on April 30, 2001, by George R. Hood, entitled "TAX ADJUSTMENT FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number 9522, which is a continuation-in-part of the applications listed herein that are identified by attorneys' docket numbers 9006, 8980, 9015, 9010, 9008, and 9011;

Utility Application Serial No. 09/845,924, filed on April 30, 2001, by George R. Hood, entitled "AMORTIZATION FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number 9435, which is a continuation-in-part of the applications listed herein that are identified by attorneys' docket numbers 9006, 8980, 9015, 9010, 9008, and 9011;

Utility Application Serial No. 09/845,851, filed on April 30, 2001, by George R. Hood, entitled "SHAREHOLDER VALUE ADD FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number 9511, which is a continuation-in-part of the applications listed herein that are identified by attorneys' docket numbers 9006, 8980, 9015, 9010, 9008, and 9011;

Utility Application Serial No. 09/608,355, filed on June 29, 2000, by George R. Hood and Paul H. Phibbs, Jr., entitled "ADVANCED AND BREAKTHROUGH NET INTEREST REVENUE IMPLEMENTATION FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number

5 9006;

Utility Application Serial No. 09/610,646, filed on June 29, 2000, by George R. Hood and Paul H. Phibbs, Jr., entitled "BASIC AND INTERMEDIATE NET INTEREST REVENUE IMPLEMENTATION FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number

10 8980;

Utility Application Serial No. 09/608,681, filed on June 29, 2000, by George R. Hood, entitled "OTHER REVENUE IMPLEMENTATION FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number 9015;

15 Utility Application Serial No. 09/608,675, filed on June 29, 2000, by George R. Hood, entitled "DIRECT EXPENSE IMPLEMENTATION FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number 9010;

Utility Application Serial No. 09/608,342, filed on June 29, 2000, by George R. Hood, entitled "INDIRECT EXPENSE IMPLEMENTATION FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number 9008; and

Utility Application Serial No. 09/608,682, filed on June 29, 2000, by George R. Hood, entitled "RISK PROVISION IMPLEMENTATION FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM," attorneys' docket number 9011;

all of which applications are incorporated by reference herein.

This application is related to the following co-pending and commonly assigned patent applications:

30 Utility Application Serial No. 09/943,060, filed on August 30, 2001, by Paul H. Phibbs, Jr., entitled "CAPITAL ALLOCATION IN A NET INTEREST REVENUE

IMPLEMENTATION FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL  
DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM,” attorneys’ docket number 9391, which  
claims the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) to Provisional Application Serial No.  
60/253,281, filed November 27, 2000, by Paul H. Phibbs, Jr., entitled “CAPITAL  
5 ALLOCATION IN A NET INTEREST REVENUE IMPLEMENTATION FOR  
FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT  
SYSTEM,” attorneys’ docket number 9391, and Provisional Application Serial No.  
60/253,254, filed November 27, 2000, by Paul H. Phibbs, Jr., entitled “ALLOCATED  
BALANCES IN A NET INTEREST REVENUE IMPLEMENTATION FOR  
10 FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT  
SYSTEM,” attorneys’ docket number 9512, and which is a continuation-in-part of the  
applications listed herein that are identified by attorneys’ docket numbers 9006 and 8980;  
Utility Application Serial No. 09/943,059, filed on August 30, 2001, by Paul H.  
Phibbs, Jr., entitled “ALLOCATED BALANCES IN A NET INTEREST REVENUE  
15 IMPLEMENTATION FOR FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL  
DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM,” attorneys’ docket number 9512, which  
claims the benefit under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) to Provisional Application Serial No.  
60/253,254, filed November 27, 2000, by Paul H. Phibbs, Jr., entitled “ALLOCATED  
BALANCES IN A NET INTEREST REVENUE IMPLEMENTATION FOR  
20 FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT  
SYSTEM,” attorneys’ docket number 9512, and Provisional Application Serial No.  
60/253,281, filed November 27, 2000, by Paul H. Phibbs, Jr., entitled “CAPITAL  
ALLOCATION IN A NET INTEREST REVENUE IMPLEMENTATION FOR  
FINANCIAL PROCESSING IN A RELATIONAL DATABASE MANAGEMENT  
25 SYSTEM,” attorneys’ docket number 9391, and which is a continuation-in-part of the  
applications listed herein that are identified by attorneys’ docket numbers 9006 and 8980;  
both of which applications are incorporated by reference herein.

## BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

### 1. Field of the Invention.

This invention relates in general to financial processing systems performed by computers, and in particular, to a selector function for life-time value financial processing  
5 using data accessed from a relational database management system.

### 2. Description of Related Art.

Operating a business on current financials is a critical first step towards success. However, for sustained long-term growth and profitability, businesses must look beyond  
10 traditional profit and loss statements and current value measurements. Instead, businesses need to develop a clear calculation of the life-time value of every facet of the company's business, from customers and products to delivery channels. However, there are few tools available for performing these tasks.

The present invention, known as the Life-Time Value (LTV) system, satisfies  
15 these needs. The Life-Time Value system brings together the results and trends of a company's financial information, such as the measurements used to determine current profitability, and blends them with the future metrics, such as propensities, attrition rates and growth values. Using the Life-Time Value system, businesses can perform a number of analyses.

20 In the area of marketing, the Life-Time Value system can be used to identify long-term targets, optimize customer mix, attract, retain and maintain profitable customer relationships, and design intelligent offers targeted to specific customers. In the area of distribution, the Life-Time Value system can be used to understand channel life-time value, and enhance customer servicing, relationship management and migration. In the  
25 area of finance, the Life-Time Value system can be used to substantiate pricing and revenue mix, and rationalize cost and expense justification. In the area of product management, the Life-Time Value system can be used to provide sophisticated product design, pricing and relationships, and develop cutting-edge, future product and testing. In the area of risk management, the Life-Time Value system can be used to incorporate  
30 future exposures, hedges and fluctuations.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

A Life-Time Value system is a data-driven computer-facilitated financial model that provides accurate and consistent profitability projections using current period account level profitability data stored in a Relational Database Management System. The Life-Time Value system performs Net Present Value and Future Value calculations using accounts, amounts and rates retrieved from the Relational Database Management System by a Selector function using selection criteria specified by one or more rules. The results from the Net Present Value and Future Value calculations are integrated to provide a Life-Time Value of one or more customers.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Referring now to the drawings in which like reference numbers represent corresponding parts throughout:

FIG. 1 illustrates an exemplary hardware and software environment according to the preferred embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a data flow diagram that illustrates the operation of the Life-Time Value system according to the preferred embodiment of the present invention; and

FIG. 3 is a flow chart that illustrates the logic of the Life-Time Value system according to the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

In the following description of the preferred embodiment, reference is made to the accompanying drawings which form a part hereof, and in which is shown by way of illustration a specific embodiment in which the invention may be practiced. It is to be understood that other embodiments may be utilized and structural changes may be made without departing from the scope of the present invention.

## OVERVIEW

The Life-Time Value (LTV) system is a data-driven computer-facilitated financial modeling system that provides accurate and consistent profitability projections using current period account level profitability data stored in a Relational Database

Management System (RDBMS). The LTV system performs Net Present Value (NPV) and Future Value (FV) processing using business-rule and data-driven applications that embrace current period profit components, defines forecast periods, parameters and methodologies, and applies appropriate growth values, attrition values and propensity values to a life-time value object.

### HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE ENVIRONMENT

FIG. 1 illustrates an exemplary hardware and software environment according to the preferred embodiment of the present invention. In the exemplary environment, a computer system implements the LTV system 100 in a three-tier client-server architecture, wherein the first or client tier provides an LTV Value Client 102 that may include, inter alia, a graphical user interface (GUI), the second or middle tier provides an LTV Calculation Engine 104 for performing functions as described later in this application, and the third or server tier comprises an RDBMS 106 that stores data and metadata in a relational database. The first, second, and third tiers may be implemented in separate machines, or may be implemented as separate or related processes in a single machine.

In the preferred embodiment, the RDBMS 106 includes at least one Parsing Engine (PE) 108 and one or more Access Module Processors (AMPs) 110A-110E storing the relational database in one or more data storage devices 112A-112E. The Parsing Engine 108 and Access Module Processors 110 may be implemented in separate machines, or may be implemented as separate or related processes in a single machine. The RDBMS 106 used in the preferred embodiment comprises the Teradata® RDBMS sold by NCR Corporation, the assignee of the present invention, although other DBMS's could be used.

Generally, the LTV Client 102 includes a graphical user interface (GUI) for operators of the system 100, wherein requests are transmitted to the LTV Calculation Engine 104 and/or the RDBMS 106, and responses are received therefrom. In response to the requests, the LTV Calculation Engine 104 performs the functions described below, including formulating queries for the RDBMS 106 and processing data retrieved from the RDBMS 106. Moreover, the results from the functions performed by the LTV

Calculation Engine 104 may be provided directly to the LTV Client 102 or may be provided to the RDBMS 106 for storing into the relational database. Once stored in the relational database, the results from the functions performed by the LTV Calculation Engine 104 may be independently retrieved from the RDBMS 106 by the LTV Client 102 or another system.

Note that the LTV Client 102, the LTV Calculation Engine 104, and the RDBMS 106 may be implemented in separate machines, or may be implemented as separate or related processes in a single machine. Moreover, in the preferred embodiment, the system 100 may use any number of different parallelism mechanisms to take advantage of the parallelism offered by the multiple tier architecture, the client-server structure of the Life-Time Value Client 102, Life-Time Value Calculation Engine 104, and RDBMS 106, and the multiple Access Module Processors 110 of the RDBMS 106. Further, data within the relational database may be partitioned across multiple data storage devices 112 to provide additional parallelism.

Generally, the Life-Time Value Client 102, Life-Time Value Calculation Engine 104, RDBMS 106, Parsing Engine 108, and/or Access Module Processors 110A-110E comprise logic and/or data tangibly embodied in and/or accessible from a device, media, carrier, or signal.

However, those skilled in the art will recognize that the exemplary environment illustrated in FIG. 1 is not intended to limit the present invention. Indeed, those skilled in the art will recognize that other alternative environments may be used without departing from the scope of the present invention. In addition, it should be understood that the present invention may also apply to components other than those disclosed herein.

## LIFE-TIME VALUE OPERATION

FIG. 2 is a conceptual data flow diagram that illustrates the operations of the LTV system 100 performed by the preferred embodiment of the present invention.

The life-time value of a customer is estimated by calculating the net present profitability value of the customer's already purchased products, as well as estimating the possible future profitability value of products the customer may buy in the future. In this context, the net present profitability value of the current product set is referred to as the

Net Present Value (NPV) and the possible future profitability value is referred to as the Future Value (FV). The NPV and FV are used to give the user an indication of the life-time value of the customer.

5 To calculate the NPV and FV of the customer, the LTV system 100 integrates existing account data along with basic assumptions about behavior and valuations to calculate the NPV and FV for each customer. One of the basic requirements for the NPV and FV calculations is to obtain the current profitability value of the accounts for each customer.

10 In FIG. 2, the RDBMS 106 stores detailed information on the current profitability value of the accounts for each customer. Specifically, the RDBMS 106 provides account data 200 for use by the Life-Time Value system 100. A Selector function 202 of the LTV Calculation Engine 104 selects from this account data 200 to create the NPV/FV data 204, based on selection criteria that is specified by user input or one or more Rules 206.

15 The LTV Calculation Engine 104 performs one or more NPV/FV Calculations 208 on the NPV/FV data 204, based on the Rules 206, as well as Forecast Amounts 210, Attrition Rates 212 and Propensity Rates 214 accessed from the RDBMS 106 and/or otherwise defined by the user. The NPV/FV results 216 from these NPV/FV Calculations 208 provide an indication to the user of the potential profitability value of each customer. The NPV/FV results 216 can then be sent the LTV Client 102, or other  
20 systems, as desired.

#### Selector Function

The Selector function 202 dynamically generates SQL statements to select accounts from the account data 200, as well as to select the Forecast Amounts 210,  
25 Attrition Rates 212 and Propensity Rates 214 via the RDBMS 106. The selection criteria used by the Selector function 202 is specified by the Rules 206 and/or user input, and the selection criteria used will differ among the various Rules 206, as described in more detail below:

- NPV Forecast Rules - NPV Forecast Rules are used to select a set of  
30 Forecast Amounts 210 for a specific set of accounts selected from the account data 200. Forecast Rules contain two sets of selection criteria.

The first set selects a set of target accounts from the account data 200 to which the Forecast Rule will be applied. The second set contains a set of Forecast Criteria Groups that are used to select Forecast Amounts 210 that meet the selection criteria.

- 5           •       NPV Attrition Rules - NPV Attrition Rules are used to select a set of Attrition Rates 212 for a specific set of accounts from the account data 200. NPV Attrition Rules contain two sets of selection criteria. The first set selects a set of target accounts from the account data 200 to which the NPV Attrition Rule will be applied. The second election set contains a set  
10           of NPV Attrition Rate Criteria Groups that are used to select Attrition Rates 212 that meet the selection criteria.
- FV Propensity Rules - FV Propensity Rules are used to select a set of Propensity Rates 216 for a specific set of accounts from the account data 200. FV Propensity Rules contain two sets of selection criteria. The first  
15           set selects a set of target accounts from the account data 200 to which the FV Propensity Rule will be applied. The second set contains a set of FV Propensity Rate Criteria Groups that are used to select Propensity Rates 214 that meet the selection criteria.
- FV Attrition Rules - FV Attrition Rules are used to select a set of Attrition  
20           Rates 212 for a specific set of accounts from the account data 200. FV Attrition Rules contain two sets of selection criteria. The first set selects a set of target accounts from the account data 200 to which the FV Attrition Rule will be applied. The second set contains a set of FV Attrition Rate  
25           Criteria Groups that are used to select Attrition Rates 212 that meet the selection criteria.

The selection criteria may comprise attributes, predicates, operators and/or functions, wherein a group of accounts, amounts or rates that satisfy the selection criteria comprise partitions. For example, it is possible to compare an account, amount or rate attribute (i.e., a column) to another account, amount or rate attribute, a literal value, or a  
30       domain value (which is an indirect reference to a literal value that is resolved by a lookup function). Operators may include any number of different relational operators, i.e., =, >=,

<=, <, >, BETWEEN, etc., and functions may comprise aggregations or other functions.

Using the selection criteria, the Selector function 202 dynamically generates SQL statements to select the proper account data 200, and to aggregate the appropriate account data 200. Moreover, the Selector function 202 optimizes the dynamic generation of the SQL statements, so that multiple selection predicates can be processed in parallel by the RDBMS 106.

The Selector function 202 uses one or more object-oriented parameterized templates to dynamically generate the SQL statements for selecting the accounts, amounts and rates from the relational database. The object-oriented parameterized template is represented by a C++ class hierarchy that contains all the core source code to generate any of the required SQL queries, and that can generate SQL macros as needed. Moreover, the object-oriented parameterized template can be modified by subclassing with inheritance and then customizing the subclasses.

The object-oriented parameterized template typically comprises a join of (potentially) multiple tables within the relational database to a constraint table (storing the selection criteria) and an in-list table (storing IN clauses for the SQL templates). Both the constraint and in-list tables are created and populated from the selection criteria.

Specifically, there are several very important steps in generating the SQL statements:

- Substantially similar selection criteria are grouped in order to combine them into one account-partitioning set of SQL statements. In this context, “similar” does not necessarily mean identical, e.g., certain selection criteria are considered identical for the grouping function and are later altered to match the original SQL statements.
- Once the selection criteria are grouped, it is necessary to convert and/or combine some of the selection criteria in order to ensure that every selection criteria can be expressed in the fewest number of templates.
- One or more constraint tables are created and populated for each group of selection criteria.
- One or more in-list tables are created and populated for all groups of selection criteria.

For the purpose of grouping selection criteria, the Selector function 202 treats the relational operators, e.g., =, <, >, >=, <=, as equivalent to a BETWEEN operation. In fact, the Selector function 202 converts these relational operators into an equivalent BETWEEN operation according to the mappings in the following table:

5

Operator	Maps to	BETWEEN	Left Value	AND	Right Value
= X	Maps to	BETWEEN	X	AND	X
< X	Maps to	BETWEEN	MIN(X)	AND	LOWER(X)
<= X	Maps to	BETWEEN	MIN(X)	AND	X
> X	Maps to	BETWEEN	HIGHER(X)	AND	MAX(X)
>= X	Maps to	BETWEEN	X	AND	MAX(X)

wherein:

MAX(X) is the maximum value of X in the domain of its data type. For example, if X is a BYTEINT value, then the maximum value of X is 127.

10 MIN(X) is the minimum value of X in the domain of its data type. For example, if X is a BYTEINT value, then the minimum value of X is -128.

LOWER(X) is the next lowest value of X in the domain of its data type. For example, if X is a BYTEINT value, then the next lower value of X is 'X - 1' (unless X is already -128).

15 HIGHER(X) is the next greater value of X in the domain of its data type. For example, if X is a BYTEINT value, then the next greater value of X is 'X + 1' (unless X is already 127).

If the relational operator in the selection criteria is of the type '>=', '<=', '>', or '<', then there may exist another selection criteria within the group of selection criteria that matches, i.e., that would form a valid BETWEEN operation. To match first and second selection criteria, the first and second selection criteria must be the same attribute, and if the relational operator of the first selection criteria is either '>=' or '>', then it will match either '<=' or '<' in the second selection criteria. Likewise, if the relational operator of the first selection criteria is '<=' or '<', then it will match either '>=' or '>' in the second selection criteria.

20

25

Once a matching pair of first and second selection criteria is found, the combination into one BETWEEN operation is straightforward. It is worth noting that,

just as in the simple case, '< X' results in 'BETWEEN Y AND LOWER(X)' and '>X' results in 'BETWEEN HIGHER(X) and Y'.

As noted above, the Selector function 202 optimizes the dynamic generation of SQL statements, so that multiple selection criteria can be processed in parallel by the RDBMS 106. Generally, this requires an understanding of the operation of the Parsing Engine 108 of the RDBMS 106, and how it transforms SQL statements into an operator tree that, in turn, can be used to generate multiple, parallelized access plans for execution by Access Module Processors 110A-E of the RDBMS 106.

In generating the SQL statements, the Selector function 202 groups multiple sets of selection criteria together and processes the groups in parallel to the extent possible, and generates several sets of output tables. The goal is to process similar selection criteria together at the same time, so that fewer passes need to be made through the tables in the relational database.

This step is necessary because the NPV/FV Calculations 208 are scripts that operate against known, statically defined, tables. In the LTV system 100, the specification of selection criteria, and the attributes that can be used in the Selector function 202, are completely dynamic and user-driven. There is no way for the scripts to contain this knowledge, nor can it be predefined as part of the scripts.

When grouping selection criteria, the following is required:

- The selection criteria has to be applied to the same level of accounts. amounts or rates.
  - That each of the selection criteria are of the same types of selection criteria.
  - That each of the selection criteria access the same attributes or columns.
- Note that an alternative embodiment would only require that each of the selection criteria access the same table.

Using the above, each distinct group of selection criteria can be processed independently. This allows the Selector function 202 to process each distinct group of selection criteria in parallel. In this context, a partition is a set of one or more accounts that satisfy one or more selection criteria, in order to apply costs and revenues to each account.

In the preferred embodiment, the Selector function 202 optimizes the SQL statements that are dynamically generated based on the specified selection criteria. The basic principles of this optimization are:

- Satisfy the selection criteria using as few SQL statements as possible, and
- 5       • Generate SQL statements that can be executed in parallel by the RDBMS 106.

Two features of the RDBMS 106 motivate the method chosen for optimization. First, it is assumed that every SQL statement that partitions accounts, amounts or rates needs to perform a full file scan of one or more tables in the relational database. A full  
10   file scan reads every single row of a table and, since it is assumed that the tables are distributed evenly across all AMPs 110A-E in the RDBMS 106, it therefore means that every AMP 110A-E uses one worker task to read the rows to satisfy the query. However, each AMP 110A-E has a limited pool of worker tasks. Hence, only a limited number of full file scans can take place at any time and therefore only a limited number of SQL  
15   statements generated by the Selector function 202 can take place at any time.

The synchronous scan feature of the RDBMS 106 allows multiple worker tasks to share the same read activity. If two tasks are scanning the same table in the relational database the same time, then the RDBMS 106 is only going to perform the scan once. If one of the tasks starts the scan earlier than the other one, the second task starts reading  
20   wherever the first task happens to be. When the scan reaches the end of the table, the first task is completed, but the second task starts the scan again at the beginning of the table, in order to pick up whatever it missed in the first pass. Because the queries generated by the Selector function 202 will tend to scan many of the same tables in the relational database, it is an overall performance improvement if all of the queries can run at the  
25   same time in order to minimize the total amount of disk scanning necessary to complete all of the SQL statements.

After the temporary work tables have been created, the Selector function 202 filters and combines the temporary work tables, yielding output tables corresponding to the inputs for the NPV/FV Calculations 208. These output tables include the partitions  
30   for the Rule 206, wherein the partitions represent the target accounts, amounts or rates against which the Rules 206 are applied. The output tables are then processed by one or

more of the NPV/FV Calculations 208 in the LTV Calculation Engine 104 to accomplish a final result.

#### Logic of the Life-Time Value System

5           FIG. 3 is a flow chart illustrating the logic of the preferred embodiment of the present invention. Those skilled in the art will recognize that this logic is provided for illustrative purposes only and that different logic may be used to accomplish the same results.

          Block 300 represents the LTV Client 102 accepting user input from the user,  
10       which may include selection criteria for accounts, amounts or rates, as well as other data.

          Block 302 represents the LTV Calculation Engine 104 determining the selection criteria from the user input or the Rules 206.

          Block 304 represents the LTV Calculation Engine 104 invoking the Selector  
15       function 202 for selecting accounts, amounts or rates, as well as other data from the relational database via the RDBMS 106.

          Block 306 represents the Selector function 202 standardizing the selection criteria.

          Block 308 represents the Selector function 202 grouping the standardized  
20       selection criteria, so that the grouped selection criteria, which comprise similar selection criteria, are processed independently and in parallel. The selection criteria are grouped when the selection criteria are applied to a same level of accounts, amounts or rates, as well as other data, when the selection criteria are of a same type, or when the selection criteria access identical attributes, or when the selection criteria access identical tables.

          Block 310 represents the Selector function 202 dynamically generating SQL  
25       statements for the selected accounts, amounts or rates, as well as other data based on the specified selection criteria, wherein the SQL statements are optimized for processing by the RDBMS 106. Preferably, the SQL statements are optimized to satisfy the selection criteria using as few of the SQL statements as possible, and so that the SQL statements are executed in parallel by the RDBMS 106.

30       Block 312 represents the Selector function 202 invoking the dynamically generated SQL statements as SQL macros performed within the RDBMS 106, in order to

perform the selection of the accounts, amounts or rates, as well as other data. Preferably, the SQL macros are grouped together for execution in parallel by the RDBMS 106. Moreover, the SQL macros are executed in a correct order by nesting the SQL macros and grouping the nested SQL macros into a high-level control macro.

5           Block 314 represents the Parsing Engine 108 of the RDBMS 106 transforming the SQL statements into an operator tree.

          Block 316 represents the Parsing Engine 108 of the RDBMS 106 generating one or more access plans from the operator tree.

          Block 318 represents the Parsing Engine 108 of the RDBMS 106 parallelizing the  
10   access plans, and then transmitting the access plans to their assigned Access Module Processors 110A-E of the RDBMS 106.

          Block 320 represents the Access Module Processors 110A-E of the RDBMS 106 executing the access plans, and thereby performing the required data manipulation associated with the access plans received from the Parsing Engine 108, wherein the  
15   required data manipulation associated with the access plans are performed in parallel by the Access Module Processors 110A-E.

          Block 322 represents the Parsing Engine 108 of the RDBMS 106 standardizing the results received from the Access Module Processors 110A-E and providing the standardized results to the LTV Calculation Engine 104 as NPV/FV data 204, Forecast  
20   Amounts 210, Attrition Rates 212 and Propensity rates 214.

          Block 324 represents the LTV Calculation Engine 104 invoking and performing the NPV/FV Calculations 208 using the NPV/FV data 204, Forecast Amounts 210, Attrition Rates 212 and Propensity Rates 214, as well as one or more Rules 206.

          Block 326 represents the LTV Calculation Engine 104 delivering the NPV/FV  
25   results 216 from the NPV/FV Calculations 208 to the LTV Client 102 and/or some other system, such as the RDBMS 106. With regard to the LTV Client 102, the results may be presented to the user, printed, or used by various other computer programs, as desired. With regard to the RDBMS 106 or other system, the results may be stored for later use by the LTV Client 102, the LTV Calculation Engine 104, or other computer programs, as  
30   desired.

## CONCLUSION

This concludes the description of the preferred embodiment of the invention. The following paragraphs describe some alternative embodiments for accomplishing the same invention.

5           In one alternative embodiment, any type of computer or configuration of computers could be used to implement the present invention. In addition, any database management system, decision support system, on-line analytic processing system, or other computer program that performs similar functions could be used with the present invention.

10           The foregoing description of the preferred embodiment of the invention has been presented for the purposes of illustration and description. It is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise form disclosed. Many modifications and variations are possible in light of the above teaching. It is intended that the scope of the invention be limited not by this detailed description, but rather by the claims  
15   appended hereto.